

## CFD PREDICTION OF THE BEAGLE 2 MARS PROBE AERODYNAMIC DATABASE

Peter A. Liever and Sami D. Habchi CFD Research Corporation

Simon I. Burnell and Steve J. Lingard Martin Baker Aircraft, Ltd

Presented at Thermal and Fluids Analysis Workshop 2001

September 11, 2001

#### **Overview**



- Beagle 2 Mars Mission
- Aeroshell Design Approach
- Aerodynamic Database Approach
- CFD-FASTRAN Flow Solver
- CFD Analysis and Flow Features
- Static Aerodynamic Database
- Pitch Stability Analysis
- Summary

#### **Beagle 2 Mission**



- Beagle 2 Lander Part of ESA Mars Express Orbiter, Launch in June 2003
- Named After Charles Darwin's Ship, HMS Beagle
- Martin-Baker Part of UK Beagle 2 Consortium
- Deliver Science Package to Mars Surface and Search for Signs of Life (Atmospheric Composition, Soil, Water, Organic Material)





### Martin Baker Role in Beagle 2 Program



- Martin-Baker Responsible for Beagle 2 Entry, Descent and Landing System (EDLS)
  - Entry Vehicle
  - Parachute Deployment
  - Transonic Deceleration
  - Aeroshell Separation
  - Airbag Inflation
  - Landing
  - Airbag Release
- Previous Experience Developing Cassini/Huygens
   Descent Control System

Descent Control System

# **Beagle 2 EDLS**



- Beagle 2 Ejected From Mars Express 5 Days Prior to Entry
- Enters Atmosphere at Mach=31.5, Altitude=120km
- Aerobraking to M=1.5 Where Pilot Chute Deploys
- Main Chute Deployed at M=0.4
- Airbag Inflation
- Landing and Deployment of Experiments



# **Beagle 2 Aeroshell Design Approach**



- Simple Generic Shape to Take Maximum Advantage of Existing Aerodynamic Data, and to Simplify Analysis
- Use of Existing Aerodynamic Databases (Huygens, Stardust, Viking, Pathfinder)
- Adoption of ballistic entry
- Minimum ballistic coefficient
- High Drag Shapes Consistent with Stability Constraints and Existing Databases (Large Angle Sphere Cone)
- Nose Radius For Minimum Mass, Maximum Drag
- Minimum Corner Radii for Maximum Drag, Limited by Structural/Thermal Requirements
- Base Diameter Maximum for Minimum Ballistic Coefficient

# **Beagle 2 Aeroshell Design Approach**



- Constraints on Project Budget and Time to Launch Opportunity
- Reuse Existing Database
- Limited Wind Tunnel Experiments
- Apply CFD to Develop Transonic-Supersonic-Hypersonic Aerodynamic Database
- Develop Blended Aerodynamic Database From Existing Scaled Data, CFD Predictions and Wind Tunnel Experiments

#### **Role Of CFD In Beagle 2 Development**

- CFD Now Mature Enough for Reliable Use for Entry Bodies
  - Reduction of Wind Tunnel Testing Costs, Time Savings
- Martin Baker Utilize CFD-FASTRAN for Entry Body Flow
   Prediction
  - Static Aerodynamic Coefficient Derivation
  - Localized Flow Effects
  - Heat Flux
  - Dynamic Coefficient Derivation
- US Navy, CFDRC, and Martin-Baker Have Used CFD for a Wide Range of Escape System Related R&D
  - Seat and Occupant Aerodynamic Database Development
  - Evaluation of Seat Stability Enhancements





# **Beagle 2 Aeroshell Geometry**

- Geometrically Similar to Huygens Probe (To Maximize Data Reuse From Huygens Program)
- Addition of Backshell Frustrum Enclosing Payload
- 60° Half Angle Blunted Cone
- Maximum Diameter D=0.9m (Huygens D=2.7m)
- Mass 60kg (17% Science Payload)





#### **Beagle 2 Aerodynamic Database Matrix**

#### CFD Database Matrix selected from Nominal Trajectory

- Martin-Baker 6-DoF Trajectory Code
  - NASA MarsGRAM Atmosphere
  - Newtonian Aerodynamics
  - Derived Aerodynamic Databases
  - Monte Carlo Dispersion
- Nominal Trajectory
  - Entry Angle of  $\gamma = -18^{\circ}$
  - Altitude 120 km
- Nine Trajectory Points Selected for CFD Analysis



| Mach | Velocity | Temp  | Pressure |
|------|----------|-------|----------|
| No.  | (m/s)    | (K)   | (Pa)     |
| 28   | 5386     | 156.8 | 1.4      |
| 25   | 5061     | 170.8 | 8.9      |
| 20   | 4248     | 184.5 | 29.1     |
| 15   | 3256     | 191.1 | 59.3     |
| 10   | 2200     | 195.6 | 104.0    |
| 7    | 1552     | 198.3 | 144.9    |
| 5    | 1117     | 200.6 | 185.7    |
| 3    | 677      | 204.3 | 257.7    |
| 1.5  | 345      | 209.2 | 398.3    |



## **CFD-FASTRAN Flow Solver**



- Density-Based Finite Volume Formulation
- Euler and Navier-Stokes for 2D, 3D and Axisymmetric
- Multi-Zone Structured/General Unstructured/Hybrid Grids
- Chimera Overset Grids
- Laminar, Turbulent (Baldwin-Lomax, K-ε, K-ω, Spalart-Allmaras)
- Generalized Finite Rate Chemistry and Thermal Non-Equilibrium
- Roe Approximate Riemann Solver and Van Leer Flux Vector Splitting
- Explicit, Point Implicit and Fully Implicit Time Integration
- Distributed Parallel Computing Capability





## **CFD-FASTRAN Multi-Body Dynamics**



- Fully-Automated Chimera/Overset Grid Methodology
- Fully Coupled 6-DOF Solution for Multiple Body Motion
- Closed Loop Control Models Including Autopilot, Motor Ignition/Firing, Thrust Profiles, Point Forces
- Comprehensive Output of Forces, Moments, Angular Velocities, Accelerations and Body Orientations in any User Defined Axis System
- Easy-to-use GUI for Fast Model Set-Up (Physics, Chimera Hole Cutting)





# **CFD-FASTRAN** Thermochemistry

- Two Databases for Thermodynamics Curve fit database for 300K to 6000K Spectroscopic Database for Thermal Non-Equilibrium
- General Finite Rate Reactions Handles Arbitrary Number of Species and Reactions
- Multiple Energy Modes
   Thermal Equilibrium or Two-Temperature Non-Equilibrium
- Applications

   Entry or Re-entry Physics
   High Speed Missile Applications







#### **CFD-FASTRAN** Applications



**Tube Launch** 

Aerothermochemistry

**Store Separation** 

**Ammunition Dispenser** 

# Mars Atmosphere CFD Model

- Laminar
- Fixed Wall Temperature
- Martian Atmosphere: 97% CO<sub>2</sub>, 3% N<sub>2</sub> (Mass Fraction)
- Below Mach=7:
  - Nonreacting Mixture of Thermally Perfect Gases (CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>)
- Above Mach=7:
  - Finite-Rate Chemical Reactions
  - Eight Species (CO<sub>2</sub>, CO, N<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, NO, C, N, O), No Ablation Products
  - Nine Reactions (Park, 1994)
- Analyze Reacting and Nonreacting Cases at Mach=7 to Verify Consistency

| Mach | Angle-of-Attack (Degrees) |   |   |   | Gas |             |
|------|---------------------------|---|---|---|-----|-------------|
| No.  | 0                         | 2 | 5 | 8 | 11  | Chemistry   |
| 28   |                           |   |   |   |     | Reacting    |
| 25   |                           | • |   |   | •   | Reacting    |
| 20   | •                         | • |   |   | •   | Reacting    |
| 15   |                           | • |   |   |     | Reacting    |
| 10   |                           | • |   |   |     | Reacting    |
| 7    | ٠                         | • |   |   |     | Reacting    |
| 7    | ٠                         | • |   |   |     | Nonreacting |
| 5    |                           | • |   |   |     | Nonreacting |
| 3    | •                         |   |   |   |     | Nonreacting |
| 1.5  |                           | • | • |   | •   | Nonreacting |

 $\langle C$ 

# **Beagle 2 Computational Grid**

- Half Body Model (180 Degree), Assume Flow Symmetry
- Resolve Shock Layer and Wake
- Avoid Grid Singularities
- Hypersonic Grid: 305,000 Grid points, 9 Domains.
- Transonic Grid: 507,000 Grid points, 9 Domains
- Near Wall Grid Clustering With y<sup>+</sup> Range of 1.0 to 5.0





Axisymmetric Grid Refinement Study to Ascertain Grid-Independent Solution for Aerodynamic Forces

|             | Grid Density                           | C <sub>A</sub> |
|-------------|--|----------------|
| Mach = 3    | Mach = 3 Axisymmetric, Baseline Grid   |                |
| Nonreacting | Axisymmetric, Refined Grid             | 1.5095         |
|             | 3-D, Baseline Grid, $\alpha=0^{\circ}$ | 1.5085         |
| Mach = 20   | Axisymmetric, Baseline Grid            | 1.4598         |
| Reacting    | Axisymmetric, Refined Grid             | 1.4600         |
|             | 3-D, Baseline Grid, α=0°               | 1.4596         |



## **CFD Validation Against Experiment**

Validation of Aerodynamic Force Predictions Against Experiment

- 60 mm Diameter Beagle 2 Model
- Oxford University Gun Tunnel
- CO<sub>2</sub> gas
- Mach=6



CFDRC



### **Beagle 2 Flow Field Characteristics**



Aerodynamics Affected by Shift of Sonic Line, Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> Gas

Evolution of Wake Over Trajectory: Reattachment Point Moves Forward





## **Beagle 2 Flow Field Characteristics**

- Base Flow at Mach=1.5 Unsteady
- Oscillations Result From Interaction of Separated Shear Layer and Strong Reverse Base Flow





(a) time =  $t_0$ 



(b) time =  $t_0 + 0.002$  sec



(c) time =  $t_0 + 0.004$  sec









(g) time =  $t_0 + 0.012$  sec



(h) time =  $t_0 + 0.014$  sec

## **Beagle 2 Aerodynamic Coefficients**



- Newtonian Flow Approximations Hold Very Well for Mach Numbers Above 10
- Rise for Mach=28 Due to Transitional Flow (Knudsen Number=0.01)
- Below Mach 10, Transition to Half Newtonian Level for Normal Force and Moment Coefficients, Axial Force Components Rise Sharply
- Transition to Half Newtonian Level in CO<sub>2</sub> Occurs at Lower Mach Number Compared to Air



## **Beagle 2 Blended Database**

-CFDRC

Several Datasets Employed in Construction of Blended Database

- Current CFD Based Data
- Huygens Phase A2 Data, Scaled to Beagle 2 (Air)
- Huygens Wind Tunnel Data (Air)
- Stardust Sample Return Capsule Wind Tunnel and CFD Data (Air)
- Mars Pathfinder Data
- Scaled NASA Experimental Data for 60° Cone (Air)

Total Angle of Attack Range from 0 to 30°, Mach Number Range from 0.4 to 28.





Assess Stability of Beagle 2 at Pilot Chute Release

- Well Known Pitch Instability of Blunt Bodies in Transonic Regime
- Dynamic Behaviour Driven by Unsteadiness in Base Region
- Dynamic Instability Likely to Occur Near Pilot Chute Deployment, M >1.5

Wind tunnel tests:

• Oxford University CO<sub>2</sub> Tunnel, Mach=2

**CFD** Analysis

• CFD-FASTRAN, Time-Accurate, 6-DOF



## **Beagle 2 Pitch Stability Experiment**



- Oxford University Tunnel
- Mach=2, CO<sub>2</sub> Gas
- Model 20 mm Diameter
- Run Time Greater Than 10 sec
- Mounted on Flexible Pivot
- AoA Perturbation by Push Rod
- Model Movement Measured by Accelerometers





**CFD-FASTRAN** Calculations

- Time-Accurate, 6-DOF (Constrained to Pitch Motion Only)
- Chimera Overset Grids: Sting Fixed, Model Moving
- Flexure Mount Resistive Torque Modeled
- Mach = 2,  $CO_2$  Gas
- Initial Perturbation  $\Delta \alpha$  = 2 Degrees
- Modeled Sting/No-Sting Configurations to Assess Sting Interference Effects



## **CFD Based Pitch Damping Results**



- Pitch Damping Analysis is Work in Progress
- Insufficient Data Available to Extract Damping Coefficients
- Significant Effects of Sting Interference and Flexure Mount









- CFD Has Matured to Provide Reliable Planetary Entry Vehicle Aerodynamic Predictions
- CFD Provides Substantial Time And Cost Savings
- CFD-FASTRAN Applied Over Entire Trajectory (Entry to Chute Deployment)
- Valuable Insight Gained Into Vehicle Flow Characteristics (Examples: Wake and Base Flow Structure, Transonic Wake Unsteadiness)
- Blended Aerodynamic Database Generated by Combining CFD Data, Scaled Existing Data, and Wind Tunnel Test Data
- CFD Based Pitch Damping Analysis Provides Insight Into Dynamic Stability Characteristics Not Easily Obtained From Wind Tunnel Tests